



STV Incorporated
Consulting Engineers
900 West Trade Street, Suite 715
Charlotte, NC 28202
Phone (704) 372-1885

I-26 Bridge Assessments

Newberry County, South Carolina

Bridge Assessment Report for Parr Road (S-36-167) over I-26

Prepared for:
The South Carolina Department of Transportation



November 11, 2016

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	3
OVERVIEW	3
SCOPE OF SERVICES SUMMARY	3
EXISTING BRIDGE INFORMATION	4
BRIDGE TYPICAL SECTION	5
DECK.....	5
CONCRETE BEAMS	5
EXPANSION JOINTS	5
DIAPHRAGMS.....	5
LIGHTING.....	5
DRAINAGE	6
BEARINGS.....	6
END BENTS.....	6
INTERIOR BENTS.....	6
SIGNS.....	6
TRAFFIC COUNTS.....	6
II. BRIDGE ASSESSMENT PHYSICAL CONDITION.....	6
GENERAL	6
SUPERSTRUCTURE CONDITION	6
DECK.....	6
CONCRETE BEAMS	7
DIAPHRAGMS.....	7
BEARINGS.....	7
EXPANSION JOINTS	8
BRIDGE RAILING	9
SUBSTRUCTURE CONDITION	9
INTERIOR BENTS.....	9
END BENTS.....	10
UTILITIES.....	10
CLEARANCES.....	10
HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE	10
VERTICAL CLEARANCE	10
OTHER FACTORS.....	11
STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL (SI&A) REPORT	11
INSPECTION REPORT	11
AGE.....	11
OPTIONS.....	11
III. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	11

I. INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

This report has been prepared by STV Incorporated for the South Carolina Department of Transportation to provide a general assessment of Parr Road over I-26 in Newberry County, SC in preparation for the widening of I-26. This document provides an overview of existing available information, discussion of conditions observed during a visit to the bridge site, and an overall assessment and recommendations for this structure as it relates to this project's planning efforts. Based on these factors described in this report, the recommendation is **rehabilitation**.

SCOPE OF SERVICES SUMMARY

A non-intrusive visual assessment of the Parr Road Bridge over I-26 was conducted in order to identify items that will need to be addressed during the construction phase of the I-26 widening project. This report is based on the visual assessment, the most recent Bridge Inspection Report, the most recent Structure Inventory and Appraisal Report, and plans for the existing bridge. STV did not conduct an inspection similar to the Biennial Bridge Inspection, did not generate any calculations in regard to the condition of the existing bridge, and did not generate any load rating calculations.



Figure 1 - Existing Bridge (looking south)



Figure 2 - Existing Bridge (looking west)

EXISTING BRIDGE INFORMATION

The existing bridge is a four (4) span, simply supported prestressed concrete beam structure with an overall length of 226'-0" (measured along the centerline of the roadway). The existing bridge width is 31'-6". The substructure consists of concrete caps and columns on spread footings for the interior bents and concrete cap on timber piling for the end bents.

Bridge:	Parr Road (S-36-167)
Over:	Over I-26
Bridge ID:	0003670016700100
Type:	Prestressed Concrete Beams with Concrete Deck and Substructure
Year Built:	1959 (stamped on bridge parapet)
Spans:	53'-0", 60'-0", 60'-0", 53'-0" (taken from construction documents)
Width:	31'-6" (taken from construction documents)
Skew:	22°-27' (taken from construction documents)
Design Methodology:	
Code:	AASHTO 1953 with revisions through 1955 (taken from construction documents)
Live Load:	AASHTO H15-S12-44 (taken from construction documents)
Seismic:	No mention of seismic design in construction documents




Figure 3 - Existing Bridge Layout

BRIDGE TYPICAL SECTION

The existing bridge typical section consists of a 26'-0" roadway width (gutter-gutter). Currently, two 11'-0" travel lanes exist, one in each direction, with 2' shoulders on each side. There are 2'-6½" tall concrete railings on both sides of the deck. The total out-to-out bridge width is 31'-6".

DECK

The existing deck thickness is approximately 6½" per the construction documents.

CONCRETE BEAMS

The superstructure is made up of four (4) prestressed concrete beams spaced at 8'-0".

EXPANSION JOINTS

There are three deck expansion joints located within the bridge. They are located at Interior Bent 2, Interior Bent 3, and Interior Bent 4.

DIAPHRAGMS

The superstructure has intermediate concrete diaphragms. The semi-integral end bent serves as the end diaphragm.

LIGHTING

The existing bridge has no overhead lighting.

DRAINAGE

Deck drains are located on each side of the existing deck. The deck drains discharge directly below to the existing shoulders.

BEARINGS

Based on original bridge plans, the existing bearings at the interior bents are rocker bearings.

END BENTS

The existing end bents consist of a concrete cap on timber piling with concrete end walls (semi-integral end bents).

INTERIOR BENTS

The interior bents consist of a concrete cap and concrete columns on concrete spread footings.

SIGNS

There are no signs located on the existing bridge.

TRAFFIC COUNTS

ADT (2015)	650
ADT (2035)	949
ADTT (2015)	39 (6%)

II. BRIDGE ASSESSMENT PHYSICAL CONDITION

GENERAL

STV Incorporated performed a visual assessment on September 19, 2016. The assessment was performed in order to determine the physical condition of the existing structure and to identify items that will need to be addressed during the construction phase of the I-26 widening project. Accessible areas were visually assessed from either above or below without the aid of ladders, man lifts, etc. The roadway was not closed during the visual assessment.

SUPERSTRUCTURE CONDITION

DECK

The existing reinforced concrete deck was observed from the shoulder since the roadway wasn't closed. Overall, the bridge deck shows little deterioration. The most recent Bridge Inspection Report does document some delamination, map cracking, and light scaling. The concrete end walls showed very little deterioration.

CONCRETE BEAMS

The existing prestressed concrete beams were assessed from accessible areas on the ground for deterioration and cracks. No deterioration or cracks were observed from the ground. The most recent Bridge Inspection Report does document some cracking and spalling in the beams.



Figure 4 – Superstructure (from underneath)

DIAPHRAGMS

The intermediate and end diaphragms (semi-integral end bents) were visually assessed from accessible areas and no deterioration was observed.

BEARINGS

A small portion of the end bent bearings were visible due to the semi-integral end bent and very little deterioration was observed. The exterior bearings showed more deterioration than the interior bearings at the end bents. The interior bent bearings were not accessible from short distance, but were viewed from the ground and appeared to be in a medium state of deterioration with moderate to severe corrosion.



Figure 5 - Bearing Corrosion

EXPANSION JOINTS

There are three deck expansion joints located within the bridge. They are located at Interior Bent 2, Interior Bent 3, and Interior Bent 4. All three expansion joints were observed from the shoulders due to the roadway not being closed. The joint filler is falling through the opening at several locations (see Figures 6 and 7). Where the joint filler hasn't fallen through, the material is in an advanced state of deterioration.




Figure 6 - Expansion Joint



Figure 7 - Expansion Joint material falling through joint opening

BRIDGE RAILING

The bridge railing (Figure 8) is a concrete railing on a concrete curb and showed minimal signs of deterioration.



Figure 8 - Bridge Railing

SUBSTRUCTURE CONDITION

INTERIOR BENTS

The interior bents show minimal signs of deterioration with the exception of a large spall on the top of one of the concrete caps (see Figure 9). No delamination or spalling of the columns was noticed. The most recent Bridge Inspection Report does document some spalling on the bottom of all interior bent caps.



Figure 9 - Spall on Top of Pier Cap

END BENTS

The end bent caps and wing walls show minimal signs of deterioration.

UTILITIES

There are no visible utilities attached to or in close vicinity to the bridge.

CLEARANCES

HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE

The horizontal clearance between the faces of the columns underneath the bridge (Spans 2 and 3) is approximately 52.5'± per the construction documents. There are currently two (2) lanes under each of these two spans. Adding a lane in each direction under the bridge will leave a total of approximately 16.5'± for shoulders and pier protection between the column faces in each direction. The 16.5'± dimension was verified during the field investigation.

VERTICAL CLEARANCE

The posted vertical clearances under the existing bridge are 15'-2" (I-26 WB) and 15'-6" (I-26 EB). Neither of these meet the 16'-0" minimum specified for freeway under existing overpassing bridges in the SCDOT Highway Design Manual. The most recent Bridge Inspection Report documents collision damage to the beams that has been repaired.



Figure 10 - Vertical Clearance

In the reports for other bridges that are the same age, a statement is made that this age likely puts it at or near the end of its design life. Should the same statement be made here?

OTHER FACTORS

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL (SI&A) REPORT

In the most recent SI&A Report, this bridge has a sufficiency rating of 82.9 and is not classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

INSPECTION REPORT

The most recent Bridge Inspection Report documents numerous hairline cracks and spalls in various members of the bridge. The report also mentions light collision damage to multiple girders that has been repaired.

AGE

This bridge was constructed in 1959 and is 57 years old. However, as discussed below, this structure appears to be in good condition overall and its useful life can be extended by rehabilitation.

OPTIONS

The two options to consider for this bridge are replacement and rehabilitation. Rehabilitation for this existing Parr Road Bridge would likely consist of a spall repair on the bent, bearing replacements, joint replacement, crack repairs, a potential closed drainage system, and either permanently raising the bridge (and likely a portion of the roadway approaches) or undercutting I-26 to achieve the required vertical clearance. The estimated cost of rehabilitating this bridge is approximately \$390,000. The estimated cost of replacing the bridge is approximately \$1.9 million.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this report is to assess the bridge from a structural perspective and make a recommendation based on this assessment. With that as the basis, the recommendation for this bridge is **Rehabilitation**. This recommendation is based on the following factors:

- Sufficiency rating of 82.8.
- Bridge not deemed structurally deficient or functionally obsolete in most recent SI&A Report.
- Major bridge elements show small amounts of deterioration and repairing these elements is feasible.
- Although designed for a truck that is not the current design truck, the traffic and truck counts are low and the bridge has held up well through the years.
- Although the bridge is not designed for current seismic design requirements, the bridge could potentially be modified for seismic purposes.

Structural items that need attention during rehabilitation include:

- Raise bridge permanently or undercut both I-26 EB and WB to achieve required vertical clearance
- Bearing replacement
- Joint replacement
- Crack and spall repair at various locations throughout the bridge

- Potential addition of closed drainage system
- Potential seismic modifications


Non-structural items, listed as follows, were not considered as part of this recommendation, but could be considered on a project-wide level (compared to structural consideration only) where they may have some impact on decision-making:

- Limited width for I-26 shoulders and pier protection when I-26 is widened
- Lane and shoulder width on Parr Road does not meet current requirements
- Age
- Aesthetics and the general appearance of the corridor (i.e., if the majority of bridges in this corridor are being replaced, is it effective to have one location with substandard shoulder widths, different configuration, etc.?)

Based on the age of the bridge and the fact that it has a few items that require attention, it will be important to continue routine bridge inspections.

Markup Summary

4 Closed (1)



Subject: STRUCTURES
Author: BowersBW
Lock: Unlocked
Page Label: 11
Status:
1 Submitted set by BowersBW on 2/15/2017 at 7:16:36 AM
4 Closed set by BerryEJ on 5/11/2017 at 3:49:16 PM
Date: 2/14/2017 2:15:13 PM

In the reports for other bridges that are the same age, a statement is made that this age likely puts it at or near the end of its design life. Should the same statement be made here?



Subject: Re: STRUCTURES
Author: Joe Dichak
Lock: Locked
Page Label: 11
Status:
Date: 2/28/2017 10:17:59 AM

Yes, statement can be added for this site.

(1)



Subject: STRUCTURES
Author: BowersBW
Lock: Unlocked
Page Label: 11
Status:
Date: 2/14/2017 2:14:23 PM

359 and is 57 years old
overall and its useful life